CANFIDENTIAL"

## Approved For Release 2002/00000114-RDP78-05252A000100030038-4 Security Information

	. •		
	Chief, Finance Division	a 9 oct 1953	
	Deputy Director of Security		
25X1A2E			
	1. Reference is made to your Memo 1953, with attachments requesting the co Office regarding the contacting of the I for the purpose of withholding from Majo	Department of Defense	25X1A2E
25X1A2E	pay, the amount of \$450.12, stagency from him as a result of discrepar	ated to be due to the	
25X1A2D1	ings while he was employed as Service ar		
25X1A2E	2. Our review of the material sub- indicates insufficient evidence to expec- to support our claim against Major whether the Department of Defense would	et any outside agency  . It is doubtful enforce payment merely	
25X1A2E	on our statement, rather, it would, undo sexplanation of the points which he would would then be in a position with having department an unfavorable story of our oproject.	bably then ask our draise. The agency to explain to another	
25X1A2E	perhaps incompetence, on the part of Mapperformance of his duties, but it furthe on the part of his superiors in not requadequate records. It is noted that State #4.006, included in Tab B, fixes the resthe physical safekeeping and proper distaccounting for funds at a Field Station Station. It further is noted that appared for Major accounts has been made that the claim against him is based sole and accountings he, himself, has submitted and accounting the part of the agency is included in the failure to make the claims against him to time after he had left the agency. When	jor in the ser indicates laxness aring him to maintain tion Memorandum sponsibility for oursement and on the Chief of rently no audit se, but rather sely on the figures ted. Further, dicated by the until considerable in a claim was	25X1A2E
	Security Inform	Auth.: HR 76-2	TS 3 (5)
		nete: 2 8 SEP 1978	

## Approved For Release 2002/06/28 : CIA-RDP78-05252A000100030038-4



	filed against him, it was not done directly, but rather through his army superiors. As a result, Major might have proper cause for a complaint that such action improperly damaged his reputation, as well as his military career.	25X1A2E
25X1A2E	documents submitted by Major have not yet been audited, it is recommended that this case be referred to the Office of the Auditor-in-Chief for a thorough audit. The Security Office will be pleased to arrange a personal contact with Subject to discuss this matter with him if the findings of the Auditor-in-Chief's Office warrant such action.  5. In accordance with your request, your file on this case is being returned.	
	Att: Tabs A to and	25X1A9A

including L.

